



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

*Handwritten signature/initials*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,229	12/07/2001	Junming Le	0975.1005-014	8474

7590 10/06/2004

Carolyn S. Elmore, Esq.  
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.  
530 Virginia Road  
P.O. Box 9133  
Concord, MA 01742-9133

EXAMINER

GAMBEL, PHILLIP

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1644

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/010,229

**Applicant(s)**

LE ET AL.

**Examiner**

Phillip Gambel

**Art Unit**

1644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

### DETAILED ACTION

1. The instant application is in sequence compliance for patent applications containing nucleotide sequence and/or amino acid sequence disclosures.
2. The filing date of the instant claims is deemed to be the filing date of priority application USSN 08/192,093, filed 2/4/94. It does not appear the priority applications filed previous to 2/4/94 provide sufficient written description for treating myelodysplastic syndrome with cA2-specific antibodies.

If applicant desires priority prior to 2/4/94; applicant is invited to point out and provide documentary support for the priority of the instant claims. Applicant is reminded that such priority for the instant limitations requires written description and enablement under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

3. If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 based upon a previously filed copending application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No. \_\_\_\_\_" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

Applicant should amend the first line of the specification to update the status of the priority documents.

4. The application is required to be reviewed and all spelling, TRADEMARKS, and like errors corrected.

Trademarks should be capitalized or accompanied by the <sup>TM</sup> or ® symbol wherever they appear and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the trademarks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Appropriate corrections are required

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:  
The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1644

6. Claims 1, 3-5 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention

It is apparent that the cA2 antibody is required to practice the claimed invention. As a required element, it must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If it is not so obtainable or available, the enablement requirements of 35 USC 112, first paragraph, may be satisfied by a deposit of the cell line / hybridoma which produces this antibody. See 37 CFR 1.801-1.809.

In addition to the conditions under the Budapest Treaty, applicant is required to satisfy that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent in U.S. patent applications.

Amendment of the specification to recite the date of deposit and the complete name and address of the depository is required. As an additional means for completing the record, applicant may submit a copy of the contract with the depository for deposit and maintenance of each deposit.

If the original deposit is made after the effective filing date of an application for patent, the applicant should promptly submit a verified statement from a person in a position to corroborate the fact, and should state, that the biological material which is deposited is a biological material specifically identified in the application as filed, except if the person is an attorney or agent registered to practice before the Office, in which the case the statement need not be verified. See MPEP 1.804(b).

Affidavits and declarations, such as those under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.132, filed during prosecution of the parent application do not automatically become a part of this application. Where it is desired to rely on an earlier filed affidavit, the applicant should make the remarks of record in the later application and include a copy of the original affidavit filed in the parent application.

Given the disclosure and the claims encompassing the instant cA2 antibody set forth in U.S. Patent No. 5,919,452 [REDACTED]; the conditions for the deposit of biological materials under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, with respect to cA2 appear to have been satisfied.

However, applicant is required to make the record clear exactly what is the scope of the instantly claimed cA2 and whether applicant has satisfied the deposit requirements under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for the claimed cA2 antibody.

If applicant is relying upon sequence information to satisfy the deposit of biological materials, it is noted that the sequence of an entire immunoglobulin satisfies the biological deposit of said immunoglobulin. Note that satisfaction for the biological deposit of the specific cA2 antibody requires the disclosure and recitation of its entire amino acid sequence and not based upon partial sequences

Art Unit: 1644

7. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the "TNF- $\alpha$  specificity"; does not reasonably provide enablement for any "TNF specificity" having such specificities.

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most clearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification does not describe nor enable any "TNF" molecule" other than "TNF $\alpha$ " as the appropriate specificity of the claimed methods, including the claimed cA2 specificity. For example, the cA2 antibody binds TNF- $\alpha$ , not TNF- $\beta$ .

The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement. See In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 18 24 (CCPA 1970).

Without such guidance, targeting TNF molecules other than TNF- $\alpha$  in order to treat myelodysplastic syndrome would be unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily, and improperly, extensive and undue.

8. Claims 1, 3-5 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 3-5 and 11-13 are indefinite in the recitation of "cA2" because its characteristics are not known. The use of "cA2" monoclonal antibody as the sole means of identifying the claimed antibody renders the claim indefinite because "cA2" is merely a laboratory designation which does not clearly define the claimed product, since different laboratories may use the same laboratory designations to define completely distinct hybridomas / cell lines.

Applicant is invited to clarify the metes and bounds of the claimed cA2 antibody.

Applicant is reminded that the amendment must point to a basis in the specification so as not to add any new matter. See MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06

9. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verhoef et al. (Leukemia 6: 1268—1272, 1992) in view of Le et al. (WO 92/16553)(**1449**).

Verhoef et al. teach the therapeutic intervention of TNF- $\alpha$  with agents such as anti-TNF- $\alpha$  antibodies in the treatment of the anemia observed in myelodysplastic syndrome (see entire document, particularly page 1271, column 2, last sentence).

Verhoef et al. differs from the claimed methods by not disclosing the particular anti-TNF- $\alpha$  cA2 specificity.

Art Unit: 1644

Le et al. teach the cA2 anti-TNF- $\alpha$  antibody, including the chimeric cA2 anti-TNF- $\alpha$  antibody as well as its therapeutic use in subjects having pathologies and conditions associated with TNF- $\alpha$  (see entire document, including Summary of the Invention and Detailed Description of the Invention, including page 34, paragraph 1).

Although Verhoef et al. and Le et al. does not disclose humanized and human antibodies per se as therapeutic antibodies, one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made was motivated to make and use humanized and human antibodies in treating humans, given the well known advantages of their lower immunogenicity when compared to therapeutic antibodies which comprised non-human elements (e.g. murine monoclonal antibodies).


Therefore, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Le et al. to those of Verhoef et al. to obtain antagonistic TNF- $\alpha$ -specific antibodies, including those with the cA2 specificity, to counter the negative effects of TNF- $\alpha$  in myelodysplastic syndrome. According to Verhoef et al., a person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to administer anti-TNF- $\alpha$  antibodies to counter the involvement of TNF $\alpha$  in the pathogenesis of anemia in myelodysplastic syndrome (See Abstract and Discussion). Le et al. provides for antagonistic anti-TNF- $\alpha$  antibodies, including recombinant antibodies that are less immunogenic than murine monoclonal antibodies in therapeutic modalities involving humans. From the teachings of the references, it was apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

10. No claim is allowed.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gambel whose telephone number is (571) 272-0844. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Phillip Gambel, PhD.

Primary Examiner  
Technology Center 1600  
September 29, 2004

Application/Control Number: 10/010,229  
Art Unit: 1644

Page 6